

REPORT ON THE MASTER THESIS

IEPS – International Economic and Political Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University

Title of the thesis:	Ecuadorian Political Death Foretold and the Correa's Era
Author of the thesis:	De Abreu Ferreira José António
Referee (incl. titles):	Mgr.Martin Riegl,PhD.

Remark: It is a standard at the FSV UK that the Referee's Report is at least 500 words long. In case you will assess the thesis as "non-defendable", please explain the concrete reasons for that in detail.

SUMMARY OF POINTS AWARDED (for details, see below):

CATEGORY	POINTS
<i>Theoretical background (max. 20)</i>	16
<i>Contribution (max. 20)</i>	17
<i>Methods (max. 20)</i>	10
<i>Literature (max. 20)</i>	14
<i>Manuscript form (max. 20)</i>	15
TOTAL POINTS (max. 100)	72
The proposed grade (1-2-3-4)	2

You can even use a decimal point (e.g. giving the grade of 2.5 for 60 points).

Comments of the referee on the thesis highlights and shortcomings (following the 5 numbered aspects of your assessment indicated below).

1) Theoretical background:

The author decided to base his research on socio-economic analysis of the political system in Ecuador during the past decades with a particular focus on the presidency of R.Correa. The thesis itself is framed within the appropriate theoretical framework. The structure of the thesis is logical and consistent.

2) Contribution:

The author has undoubtedly chosen a relevant a particularly topical issue which is not common in Czech political science. He tackled the issue of political systém in Ecuador within the region of Andean Latin America, which is continuously being characterized by left-wing populism. Therefore I find the thesis not only original but also topical.

3) Methods:

Author of the submitted thesis has not clearly defined methodology. So this is definitely the weakest part of the thesis.

4) Literature:

The author has collected sufficient amount of relevant sources of all kinds and showed his ability to analyze them critically.

5) Manuscript form:

The submitted meets the formal criteria required by the Faculty of Social Science. Particularly scope of the thesis exceeds minimum length. Also a thesis design is rather poor. The bibliography form is not in required format and.

DATE OF EVALUATION:

Referee Signature

The referee should give comments to the following requirements:

1) THEORETICAL BACKGROUND: Can you recognize that the thesis was guided by some **theoretical fundamentals** relevant for this thesis topic? Were some important theoretical concepts omitted? Was the theory used in the thesis consistently incorporated with the topic and hypotheses tested?

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0 points

2) CONTRIBUTION: Evaluate if the author presents **original ideas** on the topic and aims at demonstrating **critical thinking** and ability to draw conclusions based on the knowledge of relevant theory and relevant empirical material. Is there a distinct **value added** of the thesis (relative to knowledge of a university-educated person interested in given topic)? Did the author explain **why** the observed phenomena occurred? Were the policy implications well founded?

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0 points

3) METHODS: Are the **hypotheses** for this study clearly stated, allowing their further verification and testing? Are the theoretical explanations, empirical material and **analytical tools** used in the thesis relevant to the research question being investigated, and adequate to the aspiration level of the study? Is the thesis **topic comprehensively analyzed** and does the thesis not make trivial or irrelevant detours off the main body stated in the thesis proposal? More than 10 points signal an exceptional work, **which requires your explanation "why" it is so**.

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0 points

4) LITERATURE REVIEW: The thesis demonstrates author's full understanding and **command of recent literature**. The author quotes relevant literature in a proper way and disposes with a representative bibliography. (Remark: references to Wikipedia, websites and newspaper articles are a sign of **poor research**). If they dominate you cannot give more than 8 points. References to books published by prestigious publishers and articles in renowned journals give much better impression.

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0 points

5) MANUSCRIPT FORM: The thesis is **clear and well structured**. The author uses appropriate language and style, including academic **format** for quotations, graphs and tables. The text effectively refers to graphs and tables, is easily readable and **stimulates thinking**.

Strong Average Weak
20 10 0 points

Overall grading scheme at FSV UK:

TOTAL POINTS	GRADE	Czech grading	US grading
81 – 100	1	= excellent	= A
61 – 80	2	= good	= B
51 – 60	3	= satisfactory	= C
41 – 50	3	= satisfactory	= D
0 – 40	4	= fail	= not recommended for defence